



Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.
Vol. (31) No. 2 pp 260-294,
2011

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

RESPONSE OF SOME SUGAR BEET VARIETY TO NITROGEN AND BIO-FERTILIZATION UNDER ASSIUT GOVERNORATE CONDITIONS

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd; M. M. Ibrahim.;
N. A. E. Azzaz and H. A. Ahmed.
Dept. of Agron., Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Assiut,
Egypt.

Received 0 Dec. 2011

Accepted 14 Dec. 2011

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 growing seasons at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Governorate to study the response of two multi-germ of sugar beet varieties (Ras poly & Nejma) to different nitrogen fertilizer rates (10, 15, and 20 kg N/fed.) and application of bio-fertilizers (control, Nitrobiene and EM). The experiments were performed in a split-split plot design where sugar beet varieties were assigned to the main plot while nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers were distributed randomly in the sub and sub-sub plot, respectively.

The obtained results showed that sugar beet varieties exhibited significant differences in root diameter, leaves fresh weight, top yield and root yield in both seasons, except root fresh weight and total soluble solids (TSS) in the first season only and sucrose percentage in the second season only, while, root length and purity percentage not significant in both seasons. Plants of Ras poly were superior significantly than Nejma in all studied traits.

The data indicated that root length, root diameter, leaves fresh weight, root fresh weight, top yield and root

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

yield were significantly increased by increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates from 60 to 80 or 90 kg N/fed. application of 80 kg N/fed. gave the heights total soluble solids and sucrose percentages in both seasons and juice purity percentage in the first season only, while application of 90 kg N/fed. gave the heights juice purity percentage in the second season only.

Results indicated that root length, root diameter, leaves fresh weight, root fresh weight, top yield, root yield and sucrose percentage were significantly increased by effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, while total soluble solids and juice purity percentages increased in the first season only, while application of Nitrobiene gave the heights total soluble solids and juice purity percentages in the second season only.

The interaction between sugar beet varieties and nitrogen fertilizer rates was significant on leaves and root fresh weight, total soluble solids (TSS %), sucrose percentage and purity percentage in both seasons. The interaction between sugar beet varieties and bio-fertilizers were significant on sucrose percentage in two seasons and root length, root fresh weight and root yield in the second season only. The interaction between nitrogen fertilizer and bio-fertilizers was significant on root length, leaves and root per plant, top yield/ fed. and sucrose percentage in both seasons. The second order interaction exerted a significant influence on root fresh weight per plant, top yield/fed., TSS and sucrose percentage in both seasons and root length, leaves fresh weight per plant and purity percentage in the second season only.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) is confined to sugar production and its by products which are used for alcohol production and feed for livestock. Higher root yield its final goal of many factors including rarity and nitrogen fertilization with regard to bio-fertilizers. In Egypt, sugar beet could be cultivated in the newly reclaimed soil according to agricultural view. Planting of sugar beet is advised to be from the 1st of October till the third week of November. To match the environmental conditions of its

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

origin, on other hand, the economical operating of sugar beet factory dictates that its running period should be within 4-6 months. Fadel (2002) mentioned that Viz H poly significantly surpassed Pamela and Gazelle varieties on the yield and yield component characters (root diameter, root fresh weight, top yield and root yield) and chemical characters (TSS, sucrose and purity percentages). Abo El-Ghait and Mohamed (2000) showed that the examined sugar beet varieties significantly varied in root fresh weight/plant, root yield in the first season only. However, they did not differ significantly in root length as well as purity percentage in both seasons. Mekdad (2007) indicated that highly significant and significant varieties differences were recorded to root length in the first season and leaves fresh weight per plant in the second season, respectively. Oscar poly variety produced significantly higher root length than the other varieties with exception of Hi poly 2 and Gazelle but tended to give low value for leaves fresh weight. Khalifa (2009) found that sugar beet varieties had insignificant effect on root length in both seasons. Badr (2009) indicated that Farida cultivar significantly exceeded Athos poly in root yield in the first season.

Nitrogen fertilization is one of the most important factors affecting the yield of all field crops and it plays an important role in plant growth. It is considered the indispensable element for several vital functions in the plant. Shalaby (1998) revealed that application of 90 kg N/fed increased root length, root diameter and root fresh weight. The juice quality parameters (TSS, sucrose and purity percentages) recorded the highest values by application of 90 kg N/fed yield parameters (root yield and top yield) recorded significant increment by increasing N fertilizers from 60 kg to 90 kg/fed. Ibrahim *et al.* (2000) found that increasing nitrogen levels from 60 up to 120 kg N/fed resulted in significant increases in root length, root diameter,

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

root weight and leaves fresh weight as well as root yield, sucrose and purity percentages in both seasons. El-Geddawy *et al.* (۲۰۰۶) found that increasing N dose from ۶۰ up to ۱۰۰ kg /fed significantly increased root length and root diameter as well as root and top yield, while sucrose, total soluble solids and purity percentages were significantly decreased. Ouda (۲۰۰۷) showed that increasing N level up to ۸۰ kg N/fed increased root length, root diameter, root weight, top weights /plant (gm), top yield, root yield and sugar yields ton /fed. Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (۲۰۰۹) deduced that application of ۹۰ kg N/fed recorded highest value in quantity characteristics (root diameter and root length) and quality parameters (TSS%, sucrose and purity percentages) in both seasons.

Bio-fertilizers drew the attention as an alternative to nitrogen fertilizer application. In addition, bio-fertilizers have many merits in supplying part of the plant nitrogen requirement that could be reaching to ۲۰ %. It also helps in increasing the availability of nutrients, reduce environmental pollution due to the over use of mineral fertilizers, control the vegetative growth and improve the yield potential. The benefits of effective microorganism (EM) consist of mixed cultures of beneficial and natural occurring microorganisms that can be applied as inoculants to increase the microbial diversity of soils and plants. Hassanein and Hassouna (۲۰۰۰) showed that sugar beet seed bio-fertilization significantly increased yield traits i.e. root and top yields. Medani *et al.* (۲۰۰۰) showed that minor differences in all morphological characters especially in root length between plants treated with N at the complete dose and those received two-thirds in combination with bio-fertilizers. Similar effects were found in root yield per plant. Kandil *et al.* (۲۰۰۷_a) deduced that, root fresh weight and leaves fresh weight in both seasons were significantly affected by bio-fertilization treatments and showed that the highest measurements were achieved

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

The experiment was designed as randomized complete block with split-split plot arrangement of treatments with three replications.

Sugar beet cultivars were assigned to the main plot, nitrogen fertilization levels were distributed randomly in the sub plots and bio-fertilization were located in the sub-sub plots. The experimental unit area was 10.0m^2 ($1/400\text{fed}$) (one fadden = 400m^2). Seed were sown on October, 10 and 20 in 2008 and 2009 seasons, respectively. The preceding summer crop was maize in both seasons. The physical and chemical analyses of the experimental site are presented in Table (1)

Table 1: Some physical and chemical analysis of soil field experiments

Characteristics Physical analysis	2008/2009	2009/2010	Characteristics Chemical analysis	2008/2009	2009/2010
Sand (%)	20.71	26.90	Organic matter (%)	0.93	1.10
Silt (%)	39.00	38.10	Available N (ppm)	74.00	70.20
Clay (%)	34.79	30.00	Available P(ppm)	9.17	10.30
Soil texture	Clay loam	Available K (ppm)	348.30	300.00	
		Ph (sp. m ⁻¹)	7.90	8.01	
		E.C. (ds. m ⁻¹)	1.10	1.16	
		Total CaCo ₃ (%)	2.90	2.42	

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

Seed inoculation was carried out using the biofertilizers, Nitrobiene (produced by Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt). The N-fixer bacteria (Nitrobiene) *Azotobacter sp.* and *Azospirillum*. Inoculations were performed by mixing seeds with the appropriate amounts of Nitrobiene (100 g/fed.) using Arabic gum as adhesive material. The coated seeds were air dried in the shade for 30 minutes and sown immediately. Effective microorganism (EM) is a natural combination of beneficial microbes is being used in agriculture, horticulture and waste management. Effective microorganism (EM) was provided from the Ministry of environment.

Table 2: The main species included in Effective micro-organisms (EM).

Effective micro-organisms (EM)	
<p><u>Lactic acid bacteria:</u> Lactobacillus plantarum Lactobacillus casei Streptococcus lactis <u>Photosynthetic bacteria:</u> Rhodospseudomonas palustris Radobacter sphaeraides <u>Yeasts:</u> Saccharomyces cerevisiae</p>	<p><u>actiomycetes:</u> Streptomyces albus Streptomyces griseus <u>Fungi:</u> Aspergillus oryze <u>Types of microorganism:</u></p>

Bio-fertilizer effective microorganism (EM) at the rate of 4L/fed. was applied with irrigation water and divided into four equal doses. The first one was applied with irrigation water at planting stage, while the other added after 30 days from sowing the plants.

Calcium super phosphate (16.5% P₂O₅) fertilizer at a rate of 100 kg /fed and potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) at a rate of 80 kg /fed. were added to the soil before sowing. The studied nitrogen fertilizer levels in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5%) were applied according to each level in two

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

equal splits in both seasons after thinning and before the third irrigation. Beet plants were thinned in two times i.e. 20 and 30 days after sowing to let one plant/hill.

A- Yield and yield component characters:

At harvest (110 days after sowing) five plants were randomly chosen from the outer ridges of each sub-sub plot to estimate yield components characters as follows:

- 1 - Root length (cm).
- 2 - Root diameter (cm).
- 3 - Leaves fresh weight (g /plant).
- 4 - Root fresh weight (g/ plant).

At harvest time sugar beet plants from the two inner ridges of each sub- sub plot were collected, roots and tops were separated and weighed in kg, then converted to estimate.

- 5-Top yield (ton/fed.).
- 6- Root yield (ton/fed.).

B- Chemical component and quality:

- 1- Total soluble solids (TSS %) of roots, it was measured in juice of fresh root using hand refractometer.
- 2- Sucrose %, which was determined according to Le-Decote (1927).
- 3- Juice purity%, it was calculated according to using the following equation:-

$$\text{Juice purity \%} = \frac{\text{Sucrose \%}}{\text{TSS \%}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis:

The results were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using the computer MSTAT-C statistical analysis package by Freed *et al.* (1989). The least significant differences (LSD) test at probability level of 0.05 was manually calculated compare the differences among means.

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and yield components:-

Root length (cm).

The data in Table 3 indicate that cultivars had no significant differences in root length in both seasons. Nejma cultivar surpassed Ras poly cultivar in root length in the first season, while, Ras poly cultivar surpassed Nejma cultivar in root length in the second season. These results agree with those obtained by Saif (2000) Abo El-Ghait and Mohamed (2005) and Khalifa (2009) who reported that root length was not significantly affected by cultivars.

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

It is clear from the data that root length significantly increased by nitrogen application to sugar beet plants in both seasons. The highest values of root length (38.51 and 37.03 cm) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at its highest rate (90 kg N/fed.) in both seasons. In general, the increase in root length may be due to cell division and elongation for the promotion of N on meristemic activity of plant. These results are in line with those obtained by Shalaby (1998) Hasan (2000) El- Sayed (2000) Ibrahim *et al.* (2000) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (2009) who reported that root length was significantly affected by different nitrogen rates.

Table 3: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on root length (cm) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	60	34.93	36.03	38.73	36.73	34.70	37.40	37.80	36.63
	80	37.11	37.93	38.72	37.92	37.80	37.70	37.40	37.93
	90	37.60	38.33	38.74	38.24	37.20	37.30	38.70	37.40
Mean		36.06	37.60	38.73	37.63	35.90	37.10	37.97	36.99
Nejma	60	35.80	37.44	38.00	36.78	34.70	35.30	37.20	35.70
	80	38.02	37.71	38.36	38.00	37.90	37.80	37.10	36.93
	90	38.23	38.77	38.74	38.08	37.00	37.70	37.90	37.77
Mean		37.37	37.71	38.38	37.79	36.33	37.07	37.40	36.77
Mean for N-levels	60	35.39	36.49	38.39	36.76	34.70	36.30	37.00	36.17
	80	37.07	37.77	38.04	37.96	37.80	37.70	37.20	36.93
	90	37.94	38.00	38.74	38.41	37.80	37.40	38.30	37.03
Mean		36.97	37.70	38.06	37.71	36.12	37.83	37.78	36.88

L.S.D. at 5% for

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

Cultivars(C)	NS	NS
Nitrogen (N)	٠.٨٥	٠.٢١
Bio-fertilizers (B)	٠.٥٢	٠.٢٩
C x N	NS	٠.٣٠
C x B	NS	٠.٤١
N x B	٠.٩٠	٠.٥٠
C x N x B	NS	٠.٧١

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on root length in both seasons. In general, root length was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was more superior in increasing root length than Nitrobiene. This increase may be due to the fact that this component increased the fixed nitrogen by bacteria present in such materials and consequently, increase the activity of merestimats tissues. This leads to more growth and consequently accumulation of more photosynthesis assimilates. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Medani *et al.* (٢٠٠٠) and Kandil *et al.* (٢٠٠٢b).

The data presented in Table ٣ show that root length per plant was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in ٢٠٠٩/٢٠١٠ season only, where the highest value (٣٧.٦٧ cm) was obtained from Nejma cultivar received ٩٠ kg N/fed. Also root length per plant was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and bio-fertilizers in the second season only, where the

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

highest value (37.97) was obtained when Ras poly cultivar treated with effective microorganism (EM). Root length was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons. The highest values (38.74 and 38.30 cm) were obtained in plants received 90 kg N/fed., and treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, respectively. The second order interaction was significantly in 2009/2010 season only, where the highest value (38.70 cm) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar fertilized by 90 kg N/fed., and treated with effective microorganism (EM).

Root diameter (cm).

The data presented in Table 4 show that root diameter was significantly affected by cultivars in both seasons. Ras poly had higher root diameter than Nejma cultivar in both seasons. The difference between the two sugar beet varieties of root diameter could be due to the variation in the gene make-up and their response to the environmental conditions. These results agree with those obtained by Sharif and Eghbal (1994) Fadel (2002) Osman (2005) and Khalifa (2009).

The data revealed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates increased root diameter in both seasons, where the highest values (10.90 and 10.17 cm) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of 90 kg N/fed. in both seasons, respectively. The pronounced effect of nitrogen fertilizer on root diameter may be due to its distinct effect of nitrogen on cell size and number of cells and consequently root diameter increased. The previous results are in accordance with those reported by Azzazy (1998), Abd El-Moneim (2000), Hasan (2000), Abo El-Ghait and Mohamed (2005), Ismail and Abo EL- Ghait (2005) Ouda (2007) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (2009).

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

Table 4: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on root diameter (cm) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	60	8.90	10.20	11.10	10.07	8.30	9.30	10.00	9.20
	80	9.50	10.90	11.80	10.73	9.00	9.90	10.60	9.83
	90	9.90	11.30	11.70	10.97	9.00	10.70	11.10	10.27
Mean		9.43	10.80	11.53	10.59	8.77	9.96	10.57	9.77
Nejma	60	8.50	9.70	10.40	9.53	7.60	8.30	9.70	8.53
	80	8.90	10.20	10.80	9.97	8.00	9.20	9.80	9.00
	90	10.00	11.10	11.70	10.93	9.10	10.30	10.80	10.07
Mean		9.13	10.33	10.97	10.14	8.23	9.27	10.10	9.20
Mean for N-levels	60	8.70	9.90	10.70	9.80	7.90	8.80	9.80	8.87
	80	9.20	10.50	11.30	10.30	8.50	9.50	10.20	9.42
	90	9.90	11.20	11.70	10.90	9.00	10.50	10.90	10.17
Mean		9.28	10.57	11.20	10.37	8.50	9.62	10.33	9.48

L.S.D. at 5% for

Cultivars(C)	0.34	0.36
Nitrogen (N)	0.43	0.29
Bio-fertilizers (B)	0.29	2.09
C x N	NS	NS
C x B	NS	NS
N x B	NS	NS
C x N x B	NS	NS

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on root diameter in both seasons. In general, root diameter increased when effective

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was more superior in increasing root diameter than Nitrobiene. The beneficial effect of bio-fertilizers on root diameter is attributed to the more growth of plants and amount of metabolites synthesized by plant and to the role of bio-fertilizers in availability of nutrients. These results are in line with those obtained by Kandil *et al.* (۲۰۰۲b).

Data in the same table show that the interaction effects between any two factors and the three factors under study were not significant on root diameter in both seasons.

Leaves fresh weight (g /plant).

The data presented in Table ۵ show that leaves fresh weight significantly affected by cultivars in both seasons. Ras poly had higher leaves fresh weight than Nejma cultivar in both seasons. The difference between the two sugar beet varieties of leaves fresh weight could be due to the variation in the gene make-up and their response to the environmental conditions. These results agree with those obtained by Saif (۲۰۰۰), Fadel (۲۰۰۲), Mekdad (۲۰۰۷) and Khalifa (۲۰۰۹).

The data revealed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates increased leaves fresh weight in both seasons, where the highest values (۴۴۲.۱۲ and ۳۵۸.۶۵ g/plant) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of ۹۰ kg N/fed., in both seasons. The increase of leaves fresh weight may be due to the role of nitrogen in leaf initiation increment chlorophyll concentration in leaves and photosynthesis process which led to improve growth and leaf canopy. The results are in accordance with those reported by Ibrahim *et al.* (۲۰۰۵), Mohamed (۲۰۰۵), El-Sheref (۲۰۰۶) and Abdel-Motagally and Attia (۲۰۰۹).

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on leaves fresh weight in both seasons. In general, leaves fresh weight was high when

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control.

Table 2: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on leaves fresh weight (g/plant) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	60	301.00	343.00	376.30	340.27	293.90	309.00	336.70	313.27
	80	303.10	391.70	409.00	384.77	320.20	370.00	373.10	354.70
	90	449.00	473.00	489.00	470.77	334.20	306.70	373.90	354.93
Mean		378.03	405.57	425.10	398.07	316.10	345.07	361.23	340.97
Nejma	60	278.00	314.90	346.90	313.27	270.70	287.00	303.70	288.73
	80	329.40	307.20	378.70	305.07	311.40	334.80	347.20	331.13
	90	397.10	410.70	433.00	413.57	316.30	378.00	392.80	362.37
Mean		338.83	370.90	386.17	370.63	301.10	333.27	347.87	327.41
Mean for N-levels	60	289.70	328.90	361.70	326.77	284.70	298.20	320.10	301.00
	80	341.20	374.40	394.00	371.92	310.80	352.70	360.10	342.87
	90	423.30	441.80	461.20	442.12	320.20	367.30	383.30	358.70
Mean		351.43	381.73	405.63	379.70	308.70	339.42	354.00	334.19

L.S.D. at 5% for

Cultivars(C)	9.78	7.90
Nitrogen (N)	8.01	7.32
Bio-fertilizers (B)	4.39	7.72
C x N	12.03	8.94
C x B	NS	NS
N x B	7.70	11.49
C x N x B	NS	17.20

Effective microorganism (EM) was superior in increasing leaves fresh weight than Nitrobiene. This increase may be due to the fact that this component increased the

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

fixed nitrogen by bacteria consequently, increase the activity of merestimats tissues of leaves fresh weight. These results are in line with those obtained by Kandil *et al.* (۲۰۰۲a).

The data revealed that leaves fresh weight was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in both seasons, where the highest value (۴۷۰.۶۷ g/plant) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar when received ۹۰ kg N/fed. in the first season. The highest value (۳۶۲.۳۷ g/plant) was obtained from Nejma cultivar when received ۹۰ kg N/fed. in the second season. Also, leaves fresh weight was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons, where the highest values (۴۶۱.۲۰ and ۳۸۳.۳۰ g/plant) were obtained when plants received ۹۰ kg N/fed. and treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, respectively. The second order interaction was significant in ۲۰۰۹/۲۰۱۰ season only, where the highest value (۳۹۲.۸۰ g/plant) was obtained from Nejma cultivar received ۹۰ kg N/fed., and treated with effective microorganism (EM).

Root fresh weight (g /plant).

Results in Table ۶ show that root fresh weight significantly affected by cultivars in ۲۰۰۸/۲۰۰۹ season only. Nejma cultivar had higher leaves fresh weight than Ras poly cultivar in the first season.

Table ۶: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on root fresh weight (g/plant) of two sugar beet cultivars in ۲۰۰۸/۲۰۰۹ and ۲۰۰۹/۲۰۱۰ seasons.

Seasons		۲۰۰۸/۲۰۰۹				۲۰۰۹/۲۰۱۰			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	۶۰	۶۶۷.۸۰	۷۰۸.۹۰	۷۷۳.۰۰	۷۱۶.۵۷	۶۴۶.۴۰	۶۷۷.۰۰	۶۹۳.۹۰	۶۷۲.۴۳
	۸۰	۷۵۱.۰۰	۷۸۴.۲۰	۸۱۰.۴۰	۷۸۱.۸۷	۷۳۳.۴۰	۷۴۲.۸۰	۷۶۵.۷۰	۷۴۷.۳۰
	۹۰	۹۴۳.۰۰	۹۶۷.۱۰	۹۷۶.۳۰	۹۶۲.۱۳	۸۸۳.۲۰	۸۹۸.۷۰	۹۱۳.۰۰	۸۹۸.۳۰
Mean		۷۸۷.۲۷	۸۲۰.۰۷	۸۵۳.۲۳	۸۲۰.۱۹	۷۵۴.۳۳	۷۷۲.۸۳	۷۹۰.۸۷	۷۷۲.۶۸
Nejma	۶۰	۶۳۴.۰۰	۶۶۸.۷۰	۷۱۳.۳۰	۶۷۲.۰۰	۶۱۷.۷۰	۶۶۳.۲۰	۶۷۱.۹۰	۶۵۰.۹۳
	۸۰	۷۹۶.۳۰	۸۲۲.۷۰	۸۸۱.۱۰	۸۳۳.۳۷	۷۸۳.۴۰	۷۹۶.۵۰	۸۵۳.۸۰	۸۱۱.۲۳
	۹۰	۸۵۴.۸۰	۸۷۳.۵۰	۸۷۹.۸۰	۸۶۹.۳۷	۸۹۳.۶۰	۸۳۰.۷۰	۹۱۸.۰۰	۸۸۰.۷۷

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

Mean		٧٦١.٧٠	٧٨٨.٣٠	٨٢٤.٧٣	٧٩١.٥٩	٧٦٤.٩٠	٧٦٣.٤٦	٨١٤.٥٧	٧٨٠.٩٨
Mean for N-levels	٦٥	٦٥٠.٩٠	٦٨٨.٨٠	٧٤٣.١٥	٦٩٤.٢٨	٦٣٢.٠٥	٦٧٠.١٠	٦٨٢.٩٠	٦٦١.٦٨
	٨٠	٧٧٣.٦٥	٨٠٣.٤٥	٨٤٥.٧٥	٨٠٧.٦٢	٧٥٨.٤٠	٧٦٩.٦٥	٨٠٩.٧٥	٧٧٩.٢٧
	٩٠	٨٩٨.٩٠	٩٢٠.٣٠	٩٢٨.٠٥	٩١٥.٧٥	٨٨٨.٤٠	٨٦٤.٧٠	٩١٥.٥٠	٨٨٩.٥٣
Mean		٧٧٤.٤٨	٨٠٤.١٨	٨٣٨.٩٨	٨٠٥.٨٨	٧٥٩.٦٢	٧٦٨.١٥	٨٠٢.٧٢	٧٧٦.٨٣

L.S.D. at ٥% for

Cultivars(C)	١٦.٦٤	NS
Nitrogen (N)	٩.٢٥	١٣.٥٤
Bio-fertilizers (B)	٤.٤١	١٠.٩٦
C x N	١٣.٠٨	١٩.١٤
C x B	NS	١٥.٥١
N x B	٧.٦٤	١٨.٩٨
C x N x B	١٠.٨١	٢٦.٨٥

These results agree with those obtained by Saif (٢٠٠٠), El- Sayed (٢٠٠٥), Osman (٢٠٠٥), El-Sheikh *et al.* (٢٠٠٩) and Khalifa (٢٠٠٩).

The data revealed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates increased root fresh weight in both seasons, where the highest values (٩١٥.٧٥ and ٨٨٩.٥٣ g) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of ٩٠ kg N/fed. in both seasons. This may be due to the role of nitrogen in developing root dimensions by increasing division elongation cells consequently increased root fresh weight. These results are in accordance with those reported by Shalaby (١٩٩٨), Abd El- Moneim (٢٠٠٠), Mohamed (٢٠٠٥) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (٢٠٠٩).

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on root fresh weight in both seasons. In general, root fresh weight was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was superior in increasing root fresh weight than Nitrobiene. This increase may be due to the fact that this component increased fixed nitrogen by bacteria consequently, increase the activity of merestimats tissues. This lead to more growth and subsequent accumulation of more photosynthesis assimilates. These results are in line with those obtained by Kandil *et al.* (۲۰۰۲a)

The data revealed that root fresh weight was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in both seasons, where the highest values (۹۶۲.۱۳ and ۸۹۸.۳۰ g/plant) were obtained from Ras poly cultivar when received ۹۰ kg N/fed., in both seasons. Also, it was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and bio-fertilizers in the second season only, where the highest value (۸۱۴.۵۷ g/plant) was obtained when Nejma cultivar was treated with effective microorganism (EM). While, root fresh weight was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons, where the highest values (۹۲۸.۰۵ and ۹۱۵.۵۰ g/plant) were obtained when ۹۰ kg N/fed., was applied and treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both season, respectively. The second order interaction was significantly in both seasons, where the highest value (۹۷۶.۳۰ g/plant) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar treated with ۹۰ kg N/fed., and effective microorganism (EM) in the first season, where the highest value (۹۱۸.۰۰ g/plant) was obtained from Nejma cultivar treated with ۹۰ kg N/fed., and effective microorganism (EM) in the second season.

Top yield (ton/fed).

The data presented in Table ۷ show that top yield was significantly affected by cultivars in both seasons. Ras poly cultivar had higher top yield (۱۰.۹۲ and ۱۰.۷۷ ton /fed. in both seasons respectively) than Nejma cultivar. These results agree with those obtained by Sharif and Eghbal (۱۹۹۴),

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

Fadel (2002) Hassan *et al.* (2005), Mekdad (2007) and Khalifa (2009).

Table 4: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on top yield (ton/fed.) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	70	7.28	8.31	9.00	8.38	7.89	7.93	8.90	7.92
	80	9.20	10.10	10.90	10.10	9.27	10.16	10.82	10.08
	90	13.78	14.22	14.87	14.29	14.04	13.93	14.48	14.32
Mean		10.09	10.89	11.79	10.92	10.23	10.67	11.42	10.77
Nejma	70	7.17	7.29	8.00	7.10	7.18	7.94	7.71	7.28
	80	8.10	8.96	9.80	8.97	9.88	9.63	9.37	9.62
	90	11.90	12.42	13.67	12.68	11.47	13.01	14.66	13.21
Mean		8.74	9.06	10.01	9.60	9.01	10.03	10.08	10.04
Mean for N-levels	70	7.73	7.80	8.78	7.77	7.04	7.44	8.33	7.60
	80	8.70	9.06	10.40	9.04	9.08	9.90	10.10	9.80
	90	12.87	13.32	14.27	13.49	13.01	13.72	14.07	13.76
Mean		9.41	10.23	11.10	10.26	9.87	10.30	11.00	10.41

L.S.D. at 5% for

Cultivars(C)	0.17	0.40
Nitrogen (N)	0.14	0.26
Bio-fertilizers (B)	0.10	0.26
C x N	0.21	NS
C x B	NS	NS
N x B	0.17	0.40
C x N x B	0.22	0.63

Table 4 shows that varying applied nitrogen levels had a significant effect on this trait in both seasons. The highest

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

top yield values (13.49 and 13.76 ton/fed.) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of 90 kg N/fed. in both seasons, respectively. The enhancing influence of nitrogen on top yield could be due to its distinct role as an essential constructive element of protein and chlorophyll which in turn was reflected on an increase in leaf area and weight of sugar beet plants. These results coincided with those obtained by Sharif and Eghbal (1994), Shalaby (1998), Hasan (2000), El-Sayed (2000) and Ouda (2007) who reported that top yield increased by increasing nitrogen rates.

Bio-fertilizers treatments had significant influence on top yield in both seasons. In general, top yield was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was more superior in increasing top yield than Nitrobiene. In this respect, it could be concluded that inoculation of sugar beet seeds by bio-fertilizers could be recommended to obtain maximum top yield. These results are in line with those obtained by Hassanein and Hassouna (2000), Kandil *et al.* (2002b) and El-Dsouky and Attia (2004).

Table 4 reveals that top yield was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen fertilizer in 2008/2009 season only, where the highest value (14.29 ton/fed.) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar when received 90 kg N/fed. Also, top yield was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons, where the highest values (14.27 and 14.07 ton/fed.) were obtained when 90 kg N/fed., was applied treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, respectively. The second order interaction was significant in both seasons, where the highest value (14.87 ton/fed.) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar treated with 90 kg N/fed. and effective microorganism (EM) in the first

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

season. The highest value (14.66 ton/fed.) was obtained from Nejma cultivar treated with 90 kg N/fed. and effective microorganism (EM) in the second season.

Root yield (ton/fed).

Results presented in Table 1 show that root yield was significantly affected by cultivars in both seasons. Ras poly cultivar had higher root yield (26.14 and 26.02 ton /fed., respectively) than Nejma cultivar. The difference between the two sugar beet varieties of root yield could be due to the variation in the gene make-up and their response to the environmental conditions. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abou-Salama and El-Syiad (2000), Saif (2000), Fadel (2002), Osman (2000), Badr (2009) and Khalifa (2009).

Table 1: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on root yield (ton/fed.) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	60	23.80	24.01	26.70	24.99	22.72	23.36	20.49	23.82
	80	20.92	20.36	26.00	20.76	20.77	26.17	26.23	26.02
	90	27.74	27.41	27.91	27.79	27.87	28.21	28.03	28.20
Mean		20.84	20.76	26.84	26.14	20.38	20.91	26.70	26.02
Nejma	60	21.44	22.38	23.77	22.50	21.79	22.70	23.73	22.77
	80	23.01	23.88	24.39	23.93	23.74	20.01	20.48	24.71
	90	24.29	20.73	26.14	20.39	24.37	26.03	20.87	20.09
Mean		23.08	24.00	24.73	23.93	23.23	24.71	20.03	24.32
Mean for N-levels	60	22.70	23.40	20.14	23.74	22.17	22.98	24.71	23.24
	80	24.72	24.72	20.20	24.84	24.77	20.09	20.87	20.37
	90	26.02	26.07	27.03	26.04	26.12	27.37	27.20	26.90
Mean		24.40	24.88	20.79	20.04	24.31	20.31	20.89	20.17

L.S.D. at 5% for

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

Cultivars(C)	٠.٨٨	٠.٥١
Nitrogen (N)	٠.٢٦	٠.٤٣
Bio-fertilizers (B)	٠.٤٢	٠.٤٨
C x N	NS	٠.٦٠
C x B	NS	٠.٦٨
N x B	٠.٧٤	٠.٨٣
C x N x B	NS	NS

Data in Table ^٨ show that varying the applied nitrogen rates had a significant effect on this trait in both seasons, where the highest root yield values (٢٦.٥٤ and ٢٦.٩٠ ton/fed.) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of ٩٠ kg N/fed., in both seasons, respectively.

The effective impact of nitrogen on root yield could be attributed to its distinct role as an essential constituent of protein, amide, amino acid, co-enzymes and chlorophyll as well as its effect on the assimilatory system (sugar beet leaves) and in turn plant capacity of photosynthesis and dry matter accumulation. The role of nitrogen in increasing root yield may be partially due to increasing water content of beet roots. These results coincided with those obtained by Shalaby (١٩٩٨), Hasan (٢٠٠٠), Abo El-Ghait and Mohamed (٢٠٠٥), Ibrahim *et al.* (٢٠٠٥) and Ouda (٢٠٠٧) who reported that root yield increased by increasing nitrogen rates.

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on root yield in both seasons. In general, root yield was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was more superior in increasing root yield than Nitrobiene. The beneficial effect of bio-fertilizers, on root yield could be attributed to the more growth of plants the amount of metabolites synthesized by plant and to the role of bio-fertilizers in absorbing nutrients. These results are in line with those obtained by Medani *et al.* (2000), Cakmakc *et al.* (2001), Kandil *et al.* (2002b) and Ouda, (2003).

The data revealed that root yield was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in 2009/2010 season only, where the highest value (28.2 ton/fed.) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar when received 90 kg N/fed. Also, root yield was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and bio-fertilizers in the second season only. The highest value (26.90 ton/fed.) was obtained when Ras poly cultivar was treated with effective microorganism (EM). Root yield was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons, where the highest value (27.03 ton/fed.) was obtained when 90 kg N/fed., was applied and treated with effective microorganism (EM) in the first season, while, the highest value (27.27 ton/fed.) was obtained when 90 kg N/fed. treated with Nitrobiene in the second season.

Chemical component and quality:

Total soluble solids percentage (TSS %).

The data presented in Table 9 show that TSS was significantly affected by cultivars in the first season only. Ras poly cultivar had higher total soluble solids (19.93 %) than Nejma cultivar. The difference between the two studied varieties in TSS content could be due to the variation in the gene make-up and their response to the environmental conditions. These results agree with those obtained by Shalaby (1998), Fadel (2002), Hassan, *et al.* (2000) and Osman (2000).

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

Table 9: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on total soluble solids (TSS %) of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	70	20.38	20.43	20.33	20.38	18.79	18.80	19.42	18.99
	80	20.93	20.71	20.77	20.77	20.01	20.73	21.87	21.00
	90	18.91	18.73	18.41	18.65	20.30	20.29	20.39	20.33
Mean		19.80	19.91	20.09	19.93	19.83	19.92	20.06	20.11
Nejma	70	18.44	19.46	19.83	19.24	18.31	19.33	19.90	19.18
	80	20.72	21.00	20.90	20.87	20.77	20.80	20.97	20.86
	90	18.74	18.93	18.80	18.78	18.83	18.89	18.93	18.88
Mean		19.32	19.76	19.71	19.70	19.30	19.79	18.93	19.31
Mean for N-levels	70	19.39	19.92	20.13	19.81	18.00	19.09	19.76	19.08
	80	20.70	20.81	20.97	20.82	20.74	20.74	21.42	20.93
	90	18.70	18.78	18.71	18.76	19.06	19.09	18.16	19.10
Mean		19.56	19.84	19.90	19.77	19.07	19.81	19.74	19.71

L.S.D. at 5% for

Cultivars(C)	0.31	NS
Nitrogen (N)	1.12	1.10
Bio-fertilizers (B)	0.17	0.94
C x N	0.17	1.73
C x B	NS	NS
N x B	NS	NS
C x N x B	0.41	2.29

Results in the Table 9 indicate that nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly increased the TSS content in both seasons. The highest sugar yield values (20.82 and 20.93 %) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of 80 kg N/fed. in both seasons, respectively. The increase may be due to the fact that nitrogen encourages elongation and cell

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

division leading to an overall increase in total soluble solids percentage. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Sharif and Eghbal (١٩٩٤), Shalaby (١٩٩٨), Abd El- Moneim (٢٠٠٠), El- Sayed (٢٠٠٥), Ouda (٢٠٠٧) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (٢٠٠٩).

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant effect on total soluble solids percentage in the two studied seasons. In general, TSS content was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was superior in increasing total soluble solids percentage than Nitrobiene in the first season. Total soluble solids content was high when was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Nitrobiene was more superior in increasing sucrose percentage than effective microorganism (EM) in the second season. These results are in line with those obtained by Hassanein and Hassouna (٢٠٠٠), Kandil *et al.* (٢٠٠٢b) and Ramadan *et al.* (٢٠٠٣).

Results in Table ٩ show that TSS content was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in both seasons. The highest value (٢٠.٨٧ %) was obtained from Nejma cultivar received ٨٠ kg N/fed. in the first season. The highest value (٢١.٠٠ %) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar received ٨٠ kg N/fed. in the second season. The second order interaction was significant in both seasons, where the highest value (٢١.٠٠ %) was obtained from Nejma cultivar with ٨٠ kg N/fed. and Nitrobiene in the first season, while, the highest value (٢١.٨٦ %) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar treated with ٨٠ kg N/fed. and effective microorganism (EM) in the second season.

Sucrose percentage.

The data presented in Table ١٠ show that sucrose percentage was significantly affected by cultivars in the second season only. Ras poly cultivar had higher sucrose percentage than Nejma cultivar. The differences in this trait

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

are mainly due to gene make-up . These results agree with those obtained by Shalaby (1998), Fadel (2002), Hassan, *et al.* (2000), Osman (2000), Badr (2009) and El-Sheikh *et al.* (2009) who reported that sucrose percentage was significantly affected by cultivars.

Results in Table 10 indicate that nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly increased sucrose percentage in both seasons.

Table 10: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on sucrose percentage of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	70	14.30	14.41	14.71	14.47	12.07	12.94	13.13	12.88
	80	14.00	14.36	14.82	14.39	14.11	14.03	14.60	14.41
	90	12.30	13.00	13.16	12.82	14.39	14.08	14.63	14.03
	Mean	13.03	13.92	14.23	13.90	13.69	14.02	14.12	13.94
Nejma	70	12.89	13.20	13.22	13.10	12.62	12.73	12.93	12.76
	80	14.70	14.82	10.00	14.86	14.03	14.69	14.90	14.72
	90	12.31	12.40	12.66	12.47	13.00	13.34	13.37	13.24
	Mean	13.32	13.49	13.63	13.38	13.38	13.09	13.70	13.07
Mean for N-levels	70	13.60	13.81	13.97	13.79	12.60	12.84	13.03	12.82
	80	14.38	14.09	14.91	14.63	14.32	14.61	14.78	14.07
	90	12.31	12.73	12.91	12.60	13.70	13.96	14.00	13.89
	Mean	13.43	13.71	13.93	13.69	13.04	13.80	13.94	13.76

L.S.D. at 5% for

Cultivars(C)	NS	0.22
Nitrogen (N)	0.28	0.09
Bio-fertilizers (B)	0.29	0.13
C x N	0.40	0.13
C x B	0.40	0.18
N x B	0.49	0.22
C x N x B	0.70	0.31

The highest sucrose percentage (14.63 and 14.57 %) were obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of 80 kg N/fed. in both seasons, respectively. This may be due to that increasing nitrogen rates increased non- sucrose substance such as Na, K and alpha amino nitrogen and thane decrease sucrose content in roots. These results are in accordance with those reported by Abd El- Moneim (2000), Ismail and Abo EL- Ghait (2000), Osman (2000) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (2009) .

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants had significant effect on sucrose percentage in both seasons. In general, sucrose percentage was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was more superior in increasing sucrose percentage than Nitrobiene, where maximum values were obtained. This means that the application of bio-fertilizers was more active in increasing sugar yield/fed. and consequently sucrose percentage. These results are in line with those obtained by Medani *et al.* (2000) Hassanein and Hassouna (2000) Kandil *et al.* (2002b) and El-Kholi *et al.* (2004) who found that sucrose percentage increased by inoculation with bio-fertilizers.

The data presented in Table 10 show that sucrose percentage was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in both seasons. The highest values (14.86 and 14.72 %) were obtained from Nejma cultivar received 80 kg N/fed. in both seasons, respectively. Also, sucrose percentage was significantly affected by the

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

interaction between cultivars and bio-fertilizers in both seasons. The highest values (14.23 and 14.12 %) were obtained when Ras poly cultivar treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons. Sucrose percentage was significantly affected by the interaction between nitrogen and bio-fertilizers in both seasons, where the highest values (14.91 and 14.78 %) were obtained when 8 kg N/fed., was applied treated with effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, respectively. The second order interaction was significant in the two seasons, where the highest values (10.00 and 14.90 %) was obtained from Nejma cultivar received 8 kg N/fed., and effective microorganism (EM) in both seasons, respectively.

Juice purity.

Data in Table 11 clearly indicate that cultivars had no significant effect on purity percentage in both seasons. However, Ras poly cultivar surpassed Nejma cultivar in purity percentage in both seasons. The difference between the two sugar beet varieties of purity percentage could be due to the variation in the gene make-up and their response to the environmental conditions. These results agree with that obtained by Saif (2000), Abo El-Ghait and Mohamed (2000) and Mekdad (2007) who that purity percentage was no significantly affected by cultivars.

Table 11: Effect of different nitrogen rates and bio-fertilizers on purity percentage of two sugar beet cultivars in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons.

Seasons		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Cultivars	N levels (kg/fed.)	Bio-fertilizer			Mean	Bio-fertilizer			Mean
		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM		Cont.	Nitrob.	EM	
Ras poly	60	70.34	70.70	72.01	71.02	77.37	78.68	77.61	77.89
	80	77.73	79.34	70.68	79.25	78.82	70.44	76.80	78.69
	90	76.70	79.78	79.63	78.72	71.48	71.86	71.70	71.70
Mean		78.27	79.94	70.77	79.66	79.23	70.33	78.72	79.43

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

Nejma	٦٥	٦٩.٩٠	٦٨.٥٠	٦٦.٦٧	٦٨.٣٥	٦٨.٨١	٦٥.٨٥	٦٤.٩٩	٦٦.٥٥
	٨٠	٧١.١٠	٧٠.٩١	٧١.٤٣	٧١.١٤	٦٩.٩٦	٧٠.٤٦	٧١.٢٩	٧٠.٥٧
	٩٠	٦٥.٤٨	٦٥.٧٧	٦٩.١٤	٦٦.٨٠	٦٩.٠٤	٧٠.٦٢	٦٩.٤٣	٦٩.٧٠
Mean		٦٨.٨٣	٦٨.٣٩	٦٩.٠٨	٦٨.٧٧	٦٩.٢٧	٦٨.٩٨	٦٨.٥٧	٦٨.٩٤
Mean for N-levels	٦٥	٧٠.١٢	٦٩.٦٠	٦٩.٣٤	٦٩.٦٩	٦٨.٠٩	٦٧.٢٧	٦٦.٣٠	٦٧.٢٢
	٨٠	٦٩.٤١	٧٠.١٢	٧١.٠٦	٧٠.٢٠	٦٩.٣٩	٧٠.٤٥	٦٩.٠٥	٦٩.٦٣
	٩٠	٦٦.١١	٦٧.٧٨	٦٩.٣٨	٦٧.٧٦	٧٠.٢٦	٧١.٢٤	٧٠.٥٩	٧٠.٦٩
Mean		٦٨.٥٥	٦٩.١٧	٦٩.٩٣	٦٩.٢١	٦٩.٢٥	٦٩.٦٥	٦٨.٦٥	٦٩.١٨

L.S.D. at ٥% for

Cultivars (C)	NS	NS
Nitrogen (N)	١.٣١	١.٢٢
Bio-fertilizers (B)	١.٠٨	NS
C x N	١.٨٦	١.٧٢
C x B	NS	NS
N x B	NS	NS
C x N x B	NS	٣.١٢

Results in the Table ١١ indicate that nitrogen fertilizer rates significantly increased purity percentage in both seasons. The highest purity percentage value (٧٠.٢٠ %) was obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of ٨٠ kg N/fed. in the first season. The highest purity percentage value (٧٠.٦٩ %) was obtained when nitrogen was applied at a rate of ٩٠ kg N/fed. in the second season. The increase of purity percentage could be due to the increase in the amount of metabolites synthesized by plants as a result of increasing nitrogen rates. This could be attributed to the favorable effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on the metabolic processes and physiological activities of meristemic tissues, which are responsible for cell division and elongation in addition to the

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

formation of plant organs. This leads to more growth and consequently accumulation of more photosynthesis assimilates. These results are in accordance with those found by Sharif and Eghbal (١٩٩٤), Shalaby (١٩٩٨), Ibrahim *et al.* (٢٠٠٥) and Nemeat-Alla *et al.* (٢٠٠٩) who reported that purity percentage increased by increasing nitrogen rates.

The application of bio-fertilizers to sugar beet plants exerts a significant influence on purity percentage in ٢٠٠٨/٢٠٠٩ only season. In general, purity percentage was high when effective microorganism (EM) was applied to sugar beet plants compared to the control. Effective microorganism (EM) was superior in increasing sucrose percentage than Nitrobiene. These results are in line with those obtained by Hassanein and Hassouna (٢٠٠٠), Cakmakc *et al.* (٢٠٠١) and Kandil, *et al.* (٢٠٠٢b).

The data presented in Table ١١ show that purity percentage was significantly affected by the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen in both seasons. The highest value (٧١.١٤ %) was obtained from Nejma cultivar received ٨٠ kg N/fed. in the first season. The highest value (٧١.٧٠ %) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar received ٩٠ kg N/fed. in the second season. The second order interaction was significant in the second season only. Where, the highest value (٧١.٨٦ %) was obtained from Ras poly cultivar with ٩٠ kg N/fed. and Nitrobiene in the second season.

REFERENCE

- Abd El- Moneim, S. E. A.** (٢٠٠٠). Effect of preceding crop and nitrogen fertilizer levels on Yield and quality of some sugar beet varieties grown in Fayoum region. Ph.D. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Fayoum, Cairo Univ.
- Abdel- Motagally, F. M. F. and K. K. Attia** (٢٠٠٩). Response of sugar beet plants to nitrogen and potassium fertilization in sandy calcareous soil.

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

International Journal of Agriculture and Biology.,
11(6): 690-700.

Abo El-Ghait, R. A. and S. G. A. Mohamed (2005).
Agronomical and statistical studies on the
response of some sugar beet varieties to nitrogen
application. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 30 (6): 1800-
1821.

Abou-Salama, A. M.; and S. I. El-Syiad (2000). Studies
on some sugar beet cultivars under Middle Egypt
condition. 1- Response to planting and harvesting
dates. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 31 (1): 137-159.

Azzazy, N. B. (1998). Effect of sowing date, irrigation
interval and nitrogen fertilization on yield and
quality of sugar beet under Upper Egypt
conditions. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 76(3): 1099 -
1113.

Badr, A. I. (2009). Response of some sugar beet cultivars
to planting methods and potassium fertilizers. J.
Agric. Res. Kafr El- Sheikh Univ., 30 (1): 149-
161.

Cakmak, R.; F. Kantar and F. Sahin (2001). Effect of
N₂-fixing bacterial inoculations on yield of sugar
beet and barley. Journal of Plant Nutrition and
Soil Science. 164(5): 527-531. (C. F. Computer
Res.).

El-Dsouky, M. M and K. K. Attia (2004). Effect of
mineral, organic and bio-fertilization on yield and
quality of sugar beet plants. Assiut J. of
Agric.Sci., 30 (31): 161-180.

**El-Geddawy, I. H.; A. M. A. El- Shafai and N. B. Azzazy
(2006).** Yield and quality of some sugar beet
varieties as affected by planting and nitrogen
fertilization. . J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31
(1): 43-54.

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

- El-Kholi, M. M. A.; A. N. Ibrahim and M. H. Ali (٢٠٠٤).** Effect of N_r fixers and N-fertilization on sugar beet yield and quality. Egyptian J. of Agric.Res., ٨٢ (٢) ١٠٧-١٣٠.
- El-Sayed, G. S. (٢٠٠٥).** Effect of nitrogen and magnesium fertilization on yield and quality of two sugar beet varieties. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., ٨٣ (٢): ٧٠٩ -٧٢٤.
- El-Sheikh, S. R. E.; K. A. M. Khaled and S. A. A. M. Enan (٢٠٠٩).** Evaluation of some sugar beet varieties under three harvesting dates. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ٣٤ (٣): ١٥٥٩-١٥٦٧.
- El-Sheref, E. El. M (٢٠٠٦).** Effect of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*,L.) grown at north delta.J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ٣١ (٨): ٤٨٨٥-٤٨٩٩.
- Fadel, A. M. E. (٢٠٠٢).** Effect of sowing dates and nitrogen fertilization levels on some sugar beet varieties in middle Egypt. M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Al- Azhar Univ.
- Freed, R.S.P.; S.P. Eisensmith; S. Goetz; D. Reicosky; V.W. Smail and P. Wolberge (١٩٨٩).** Users guide to MSTAT-C.A software program for the design, moorage egement and analyses of agronomic research experiments Michigan State University, U.S.A.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (١٩٨٤).** Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research ٢nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- Hasan, S. S. (٢٠٠٠).** Effect of some preceding crops and nitrogen fertilizer on the growth and yield of sugar beet. M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ.
- Hassan, H. F. M; A. M. A. El- Shafai and A. M. Gomaa (٢٠٠٥).** Yield and technological characteristics of some sugar beet cultivars as affected by sowing

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

and harvesting dates. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., ٨٣ (٤): ١٦٨٩ -١٧١٠.

Hassanein, M. A. and M. G. Hassouna (٢٠٠٠). Effect of bio-and mineral- nitrogen fertilizations on sugar beet yield and quality in the new reclaimed areas at Nubaria region. Alex. Sci. Exch., ٢١ (٢): ١٥٣-١٦١.

Ibrahim, M.M ., Kh.A.O. El-Aref and A.S. Abo-El-Hamd (٢٠٠٥). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on yield and quality of two sugar beet varieties under Assiut governorate condition. Zagazig J. Agric Res., ٣٢ (٤): ١٠٨٧-١١٠٣.

Ismail, A.M. A. and R.A. Abo EL- Ghait (٢٠٠٥). Effect of nitrogen sources and levels on yield and quality of sugar beet. Egypt J. Agric. Res., ٨٣ (١): ٢٢٩ - ٢٣٩.

Kandil, A. A.; M, A, Badawi; S. A. El- moursy and U. M. A. Abdou (٢٠٠٢a). Effect of planting dates, nitrogen levels and bio-fertilization treatments on:
١- Growth attributes sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*,L.).J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ٢٧ (١١): ٧٢٤٧-٧٢٥٥.

Kandil, A. A.; M, A, Badawi; S. A. El- moursy and U. M. A. Abdou (٢٠٠٢b). Effect of planting dates, nitrogen levels and bio-fertilization treatments on:
٢- Yield, yield components and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*,L.).J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ٢٧ (١١): ٧٢٥٧-٧٢٦٦.

Khalifa, Y. A. M. M. (٢٠٠٩). Sugar beet response to planting methods under different irrigation systems. Ph. D. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ.

Le- Docte, A. (١٩٢٧). Commercial determination of sugar in the beet root using the Sachr Le- Docte process. Inter. Sugar J., ٢٩:٤٨٨-٤٩٢. (C.F.Sugar beet

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

nutrition April 1972, Applied Science Publishers LTD, London, A.P.Draycott).

- Medani, R. A.; S. A. Mohamed.; M. A. El-Yazal and S. A. Mahfoux (2000).** Growth, yield and chemical composition of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) plant as affected by specific isolated bio-fertilizers in relation to nitrogen application. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. 38 (2): 2019-2038.
- Mekdad, A. A. A. (2007).** Effect of some agricultural treatment on yield and quality of sugar beet. M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., El- Fayoum, Cairo Univ.
- Mohamed, Y. A. M. (2005).** Studies on the effect of planting dates, planting spaces and nitrogen fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*,L.). M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.
- Nemeat-Alla, E. A. E.; S. S. Zalat and A. I. Badr (2009).** Sugar beet yield and quality as affected by nitrogen levels and foliar application with micronutrients. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El- Sheikh Univ., 30 (2): 990-1011.
- Osman, M.S.H. (2005).** Effect of potassium and magnesium on yield and quality of two sugar beet varieties. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 43 (1): 210 - 228.
- Ouda, M. M. (2007).** Effect of chemical and bio-fertilizer of nitrogen and boron on yield and quality of sugar beet. Zagazig J. Agric Res., 32 (1): 1-11.
- Ramadan, B. S. H.; H. R. Hassan and F. A. Abdo (2003).** Effect of minerals and bio-fertilizers on photosynthetic pigments, root quality, yield components and anatomical structure of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*,L.) plants grown under reclaimed soil. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 38 (7): 5139-5160.

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

- Saif, L. M. A.** (٢٠٠٠). The relative importance of potassium fertilizer for sugar beet under Upper Egypt conditions. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, ٢٥ (٥): ١٢١٥-١٢٢٧.
- Shalaby, N. M. E.** (١٩٩٨). Effect of different nitrogen levels and the period of no irrigation before harvesting on yield and quality of sugar beet. M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.
- Sharif, A. E. and K. Eghbal** (١٩٩٤). Yield analysis of seven sugar beet varieties under different levels of nitrogen in a dry region of Egypt. *Agribiological Research.*, ٤٧ (٣): ٢٣١-٢٤١.

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

استجابة بعض أصناف بنجر السكر للتسميد النيتروجيني والحيوي تحت ظروف محافظة أسيوط

عبد الرحيم سيد أبو الحمد - مصطفى محمد إبراهيم

نبيل عبد الخالق عيد عزاز - حجاجي عبد الحفيظ أحمد

قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر - فرع أسيوط

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمزرعة كلية الزراعة جامعة الأزهر بأسيوط خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠٠٨/٢٠٠٩ و ٢٠٠٩/٢٠١٠ لدراسة استجابة صنفين من بنجر السكر راس بولى و نجمه (عديد الأجنة) لمعدلات مختلفة من التسميد النيتروجيني (٦٥، ٨٠ و ٩٠ كجم ن/فدان) و التسميد الحيوي (بدون تلقيح (كنترول)، نترابين و EM) وكان التصميم المستخدم القطع المنشقة مرتين ، حيث وزعت الأصناف على القطع الرئيسية والتسميد الأزوتى على القطع المنشقة الأولى ومعاملات التسميد الحيوي على القطع المنشقة الثانية.

وكانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها:

١- تفوق الصنف راس بولى مغنويا في قطر الجذر و الوزن الطازج للأوراق/نبات ومحصول الأوراق والجذور/فدان والنسبة المئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية ونسبة السكروز والنقاوة في كلا الموسمين، والوزن الطازج للجذر/نبات في الموسم الأول فقط.

٢- أدى زيادة معدلات التسميد النيتروجيني إلى زيادة مغنوية في كل من قطر الجذر والوزن الطازج للأوراق والجذور/نبات، ومحصول الأوراق والجذور/فدان في كلا الموسمين. بينما أدى إضافة ٨٠ كجم ن/فدان أعلى نسبة للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والسكروز في كلا الموسمين ونسبة النقاوة في الموسم الأول فقط ، وكانت أعلى نسبة للنقاوة عند إضافة ٩٠ كجم ن/فدان في الموسم الثاني.

٣- تشير نتائج التسميد الحيوي إلى أن هناك زيادة مغنوية في كل من قطر الجذر والوزن الطازج للأوراق والجذور/نبات ومحصول الأوراق والجذور/فدان والنسبة المئوية للسكروز عند استخدام معاملة ال EM في كلا الموسمين، والنسبة

A.S. Abo-El-Hamd *et al.*

المئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية ونسبة النقاوة في الموسم الأول فقط ،
بينما أدى إضافة معاملة النترويين أعلى نسبة مئوية للمواد الصلبة الذائبة
الكلية والنقاوة في الموسم الثاني فقط .

Effect of nitrogen and biofertilization on sugar beet

٤- كان للتفاعل المشترك بين الأصناف والتسميد النيتروجيني تأثير معنوي على الوزن الطازج للأوراق والجذور/نبات، %TSS ونسبة السكر والنقاوة في كلا الموسمين. أما بالنسبة للتفاعل بين الأصناف والتسميد الحيوي كان معنوي على نسبة السكر في الموسمين وعلى طول الجذر والوزن الطازج للجذور/نبات ومحصول الجذور/فدان في الموسم الثاني فقط. أدى التفاعل بين التسميد النيتروجيني والحيوي إلى تأثير معنوي على كل من طول الجذر والوزن الطازج للأوراق والجذور/نبات ومحصول الأوراق والجذور/فدان والنسبة المئوية للسكر لكلا الموسمين. أما بالنسبة للتفاعل الثلاثي بين الأصناف والتسميد النيتروجيني والحيوي فكان له تأثير معنوي على الوزن الطازج للجذور/نبات ومحصول الأوراق والجذور/فدان، %TSS والنسبة المئوية للسكر في الموسمين. بينما كان التأثير معنوي على صفة طول الجذر والوزن الطازج للأوراق/نبات والنسبة المئوية للنقاوة في الموسم الثاني فقط.